

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 BRENDA JOHNSON,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF
13 TRANSPORTATION, et al.,

14 Defendants.

15 CASE NO. C19-862 RSM

16 ORDER DENYING EMERGENCY
17 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

18 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Emergency Motion Reconsideration of Entry
19 of Default. Dkt. #17. Plaintiff's Motion follows the Court's Order denying Plaintiff's motion
20 for entry of default. Dkt. #16. That Order was premised on Plaintiff's failure to demonstrate that
21 she had served Defendants in accordance with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil
22 Procedure 4. *Id.* at 2–3. The Court does not find a basis for reconsideration.

23 “Motions for reconsideration are disfavored.” LCR 7(h)(1). Consequently, the Court
24 will “ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior
ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to [the
Court’s] attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” *Id.* Plaintiff's Motion shows neither.

25 The Court struggles to follow Plaintiff's Motion, but it appears to primarily express
26 Plaintiff's disagreement with the Court's Order. Plaintiff does not, however, point to any

1 authority demonstrating manifest error with the Court's ruling. Plaintiff also does not point to
2 any new facts or legal authority that "could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention
3 earlier with reasonable diligence." LCR 7(h)(1).

4 Accordingly, and having considered Plaintiff's Motion and the record herein, the Court
5 finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff's Emergency Motion Reconsideration of Entry of Default (Dkt.
6 #17) is DENIED.

7 Dated this 14th day of August 2019.

8 
9

10 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
11 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24